Navigating Asthma Control:



A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment



Final Outcomes Summary

This educational grant was supported by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, GlaxoSmithKline, and Novartis

MOUNT SINAI - NATIONAL JEWISH HEALTH Respiratory Institute





Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment Executive Summary



Final Report

Program Design

Multimedia live (six two-hour evening symposium) and online case-based enduring activity following a guided workflow for diagnosis, assessment, and treatment selection of severe asthma designed to improve the knowledge and competence of allergists, pulmonologists, primary care physicians and pediatricians in the diagnosis,

management, and treatment of severe asthma

Key Features

✓ Whiteboard animation
 ✓ Challenging cases
 ✓ Infographic clinical aid
 ✓ Intra-activity polling questions
 ✓ Patient perspective video

Program Locations and Dates (6) Live Evening Symposia: 1.) New York, NY (8/14/19); 2.) Denver, CO (9/4/19); 3.) Phoenix, AZ (9/5/19); 4.) Dallas, TX (11/12/19); 5.) Atlanta, GA (11/14/19); 6.) Miami, FL (12/5/19)

Online Enduring: 08/07/2019-08/07/2020 (freeCME) 01/10/2020-08/07/2020 (myCME)



Director, Clinical Asthma Program Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Associate Professor of Medicine Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine Mount Sinai-National Jewish Health Respiratory Institute, New York City, NY



Michael E. Wechsler, MD, MMSc Professor of Medicine Director of The Cohen Family Asthma Institute Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine National Jewish Health, Denver, CO

Learning Objectives:

 Apply current management guidelines to diagnose asthma correctly, optimize inhaled therapy, address comorbidities, and recognize when asthma is not well controlled.
 Review evidence related to potential long-term effects of oral corticosteroids and assess their role in asthma management in the era of biologic therapies.
 Identify key features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapies.

4. Individualize biologic and non-biologic therapies for patients based on their inflammatory phenotype, coexisting conditions, and other individual factors.

Defining the Patient Impact*

Linda Rogers, MD

Learners were asked through a multiple choice question (MCQ) to identify the number of patients they treat per week with the condition of severe asthma. Four choices were provided ranging from 'More than 15' to '0 / Less than 5'. Totals were calculated based on conservative estimates within each category . Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment Live Activity Outcomes Summary



Final Report



95% of respondents indicated the activity:

✓ Improved ability to treat/manage patients



Narrowing the Gaps (Knowledge Gain by LO)



Competence and Performance

99% of learners (N=132) report that they are somewhat to extremely likely to make changes to their practice

Top (3) intended changes to practice

- Incorporate different diagnostic strategies into patient evaluation (54%)
- (2) Modify treatment plans (46%)
- (3) Change screening/prevention practice (42%)

35% had already made changes to practice at 6 week follow up

Key Take-Aways

- ✓ Benefit of biologics for asthma
- Heterogeneity of asthma
- ✓ New therapies and treatment options
- Understanding endotypes and phenotypes

Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment **Online Outcomes Summary**

Learners

Critical Care

6%

6%



Final Report

Engagement and Effectiveness Participation Educational Impact Completers 1,521 81% 209% 5.9 85% (take post test) relative knowledge gain **ENGAGEMENT SCORE** 8,115 for all data 47% (engage with content) Average number of combined actions taken by each Certificates **RISE IN MASTERY** 1,442 learner 38% (complete evaluation) **Relative Increase in** [59% higher than absolute change Other MD/DO Learners who show Designation ArcheMedx for all data (Completers) RN 9% 36% High Confidence and Avg Pre Avg Post benchmark] combined Correctness N=1,521 23% N=730 N=3,052 NP PA Intent to Change: **94%** of all completers **Projected Patient Impact** N=1,521 15% 17% Specialty Top (3) intended changes to practice Other Family/1=1,521 N=1,442 499,304* (Completers) 31% Μ Annual Patient Visits Impacted (1)Incorporate different diagnostic 28% strategies into patient evaluation (98%) 9,602* Pulmona Modify treatment plans (91%) (2)Emergency Weekly Patient Visits Impacted Peds ry (3)Change screening/prevention (88%) 12% 8% 5% 4%

N=1,442



Online Posting and Links Outcomes

Final Report

ArcheMedX



Original program launched on August 8, 2019 where learners connect to the ArcheMedX platform via a single sign-on hosted on FreeCME

freeCME (8/7/2019-8/7/2020): https://learning.freecme.com/a/32716PAAACT

Launched on myCME January 10, 2020 to supplement the reach of original program



myCME (1/10/2020-8/7/2020): https://www.mycme.com/navigatingasthma-control-a-severe-asthmaroadmap-for-improved-diagnosis-andpersonalized-treatment/activity/6406/

Executive Summary: Online Activity Details



Final Report



The online CME activity consisted of one 30-minute activity presented by our expert faculty, Dr. Michael Wechsler, from National Jewish Health. His presentation addressed his perspective on current and emerging treatments for severe asthma including diagnosis, current and emerging treatments, and the use of biologic therapies and oral corticosteroid reduction.









Screenshots of whiteboard animations Patient Perspective Video

Features included: ✓ Whiteboard animation ✓ Challenging cases ✓ Infographic clinical aid ✓ Intra-activity polling questions

Level 1 Outcomes: Learner Participation



National Jewish

Final Report

Health

Level 1 Outcomes Participation: Profession and Specialty



Final Report



N=1521 Post-tests Completed

ArcheMedX Insights: Participation Funnel



ArcheMedX Insights: Learner Engagement





*actions taken while watching the educational videos. Includes all learners who started a session

N=3,052

Level 2 Outcomes: Satisfaction Learner Response to Educational Needs



Final Report

N=1442 Evaluations Completed

Levels 3&4: Gains in Knowledge and Competence



Final Report



Pre-test (n=2001) Post-test (n=1521)

Level 3 & 4 Outcomes: Learning by Objective

Apply current management guidelines to diagnose asthma correctly, optimize inhaled therapy, address comorbidities, and recognize when asthma is not well controlled.

Review evidence related to potential long-term effects of oral corticosteroids and assess their role in asthma management in the era of biologic therapies.

Identify key features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapies.

Individualize biologic and non-biologic therapies for patients based on their inflammatory phenotype, coexisting conditions, and other individual factors.





ArcheMedX Insights: Confidence Based Assessment



Final Report

Confidence-based Assessment goes beyond measuring correctness and dives deep into understanding a learner's belief (confidence) in their knowledge and competence, specifically looking at each question and requiring the learner to indicate the confidence in their answers.

Pre-Test

Post-Test





RISE IN MASTERY

Relative Increase in Learners who show **High Confidence** and **Correctness**







Q1: When a patient presents with uncontrolled asthma despite high intensity therapy, what is the most important initial assessment to consider?





Q2: Chronic oral corticosteroid use has been associated with which of the following adverse effects?



National Jewish Health[®] Final Report

Q3: A 52-year old man has been dependent on oral steroids despite adherence to high dose ICS/LABA for his asthma for the last 3 years. He has had weight gain, cataracts, and low bone density. Which of the following have been demonstrated to facilitate oral steroid dose reduction while reducing asthma exacerbations:





Q4: Type 2 inflammation is associated with all of the following except:



National Jewish Health[®] **Final Report**

Q5: Your patient is a 55-year-old obese woman (BMI 35 mg/kg2) with severe persistent asthma (onset at age 39) with uncontrolled symptoms despite intensive therapy. Comorbidities include GERD and sleep apnea controlled with PPI and CPAP. Skin prick testing negative for common aeroallergens. IgE = 100 IU/L but allergy testing is negative. Absolute eosinophil count is 100/uL. FeNO = 10 ppb. Induced sputum shows neutrophilic inflammation. What would you do next?





Q6: When a patient presents with uncontrolled asthma despite high intensity therapy, what is the most important initial assessment to consider?



ArcheMedX Insights: Learning Moments Questions





ArcheMedX Insights: Learning Moments Questions

Based on the information you have so far, how would you characterize Greg's asthma?

Chronic oral corticosteroid use has been associated with which of the following adverse effects?

Learning moments are actions built into the activity to encourage uptake of resources, provide nudges or assess knowledge through questions

ArcheMedX Insights: Learning Moments Questions

Level 4 Outcomes: Intent to Change

Final Report

ArcheMedX Insights: Top Resources Viewed, Downloaded

BASED ON THE GLOBAL STRATEGY FOR ASTHMA MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION

S. National Jewish

njhealth.org 877225.5654

	SEVERE ASTHMA ROADMAP	GUIDELINES	SLIDE DECK	PATHOBIOLOGY	MEDFACTS (4)
Description	Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap	2019 GINA Guidelines	Navigating Asthma Control Slide Deck	Video: Pathobiology of Asthma	Respiclick, Aerochamber, Using a Diskus, Respimat
Views	417	103	55	65	135
Downloads	201	129	47	25	316
Thumbnail	<image/> <image/>	POCKET GUIDE FOR ASTHMA MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION (for Adults and Guideen Older than 5 Years)	Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment		<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

Infographic Clinical Reference Aid

93% of completers indicated that they were likely to use the infographic clinical reference aid in practice.

"Excellent program. Great educational aids. I like the road map."
– online participant

Learner Take Aways

- Classification of asthma type 2 versus non-type 2 and the different treatment avenues for reducing the use of OCS
- Improving history taking skill, evaluate comorbidities and complicating factors
- Indications for Biologic therapy of uncontrolled asthma
- How important it is to determine asthma endotype in poorly controlled asthma
- Identify features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapy
- Assessment evaluation of inflammatory markers for treatment of asthma
- The role of biologics in reducing use of OCS
- Effects of chronic use of corticosteroids, phenotype and FeNO relevance
- Importance of measuring Eosinophils and IGE in patients
- Refer sooner to determine best regimen for better outcomes
- Better understanding of the complexities of asthma
- Road map great resource

Recommendations for Future Topics

- COVID-19 management
- Atopic Dermatitis
- COPD management
- Pediatric asthma
- More asthma and COPD
- Asthma exacerbation during COVID treatment
- EoE
- Biologic therapy
- Hypertension
- Immunotherapy for Allergies/Asthma
- Asthma COPD/Overlap
- Pediatric COVID-19
- How to select biologics

Learner Feedback

Examples of positive feedback:

- One of the best online CME programs I've participated in.
- Very clear and valuable information.
- The presentation format was effective, straightforward and held my attention. Specifically enjoyed the mix of video, slides, animation, and interactive questions. The case studies were excellent.
- Excellent program. Great educational aids. I like the road map.
- It was very thorough and up to date.
- Excellent presentations and resources right at hand with just one click instead of looking up on my own resources which I would never have time to look up. Clear presentations and concise to the point to keep the audience attentive even without caffeine load. I would take more courses if the quality is this good.

Constructive feedback and observations:

- Any use of combined anti-IL therapy in EGPA?
- I'm a dermatologist. I took it for the atopic dermatitis patients.
- It is not always possible to implement suggested management protocols in resource poor countries because of unavailability of equipment, laboratory facilities and newer and more expensive drugs.
- This needs to be a 60 min activity.

Root Cause Analysis

National Jewish Health[®] Final Report

Significant learning gains were noted across all learning objectives and across all questions; however, data from confidence-based assessment demonstrate that gaps persist in the following areas:

Persistent Gap (1): Stepping up therapy to a biologic (Question 3)

While 79% of learners got the answer right upon post-test (an overall relative increase of 116%), 38% of learners reported low confidence in their post-test answer, demonstrating they haven't quite mastered that gap (N=730)

Persistent Gap (2): Pathophysiology and Type 2 Inflammation (Question 4)

While 81% of learners got the answer right regarding pathophysiology and type 2 inflammation upon post-test (an overall relative increase of 127%), 34% of learners reported low confidence in their post-test answer, demonstrating they haven't quite mastered that gap (N=730)

Fishbone Diagram

Root Cause Analysis

In order to attempt to understand the root cause of these persistent gaps, NJH conducted an analysis upon completion of the online enduring activity to include a summary of focused interviews and key insights from program faculty.

- The consequences of physicians not understanding the importance of identifying and classifying phenotypes/endotypes and associated biomarker is that patients are not getting the treatment they need. If physicians don't know biologic options they may keep patients on steroids with serious long-term effects. Steroid burden is high.
- Physicians are uncomfortable selecting biologic treatments without hands-on experience. This educational program provides important guidance, but confidence requires experience. You can close the knowledge gaps with education, but you can't necessarily resolve barriers within logistics or comfort/confidence with selecting treatments.
- Further, if physicians don't have the infrastructure to support prescribing biologics, that is a barrier. That infrastructure and practice burden includes: the ability to manage prior authorizations, communicating with patients, providing resources for payor or other coverage.
- Physicians seem to be fairly comfortable with ordering and interpreting tests to support identifying and classifying phenotypes/endotypes and associated biomarkers. What they are not comfortable with is identifying Type 2 Low (Noneosinophilic) asthma, the nuances of selecting biologics for severe asthma, and coverage eligibility of biologics for patients.
- Regarding the target audience: It is important for primary care to learn about the process of diagnosing and treating Type 2 asthma so that they can make effective referrals to allergists and pulmonologists. This education should be most effective for the Specialty audience (allergists and pulmonologists).
- **□** Future education should be focused on when to step up therapy and what biologic treatments to select for patients.

Live Outcomes

Navigating Asthma Control: A Severe Asthma Roadmap for Improved **Diagnosis and Personalized Treatment Live Activity Outcomes Summary**

Final Report

N=133

36% overall

are somewhat to extremely likely to make changes to their practice

Competence and Performance

99% of learners (N=132) report that they

Top (3) intended changes to practice

- Incorporate different diagnostic (1) strategies into patient evaluation (54%)
- (2)Modify treatment plans (46%)
- (3) Change screening/prevention practice (42%)

35% had already made changes to practice at 6 week follow up

Key Take-Aways

- Benefit of biologics for asthma
- Heterogeneity of asthma
- New therapies and treatment options
- Understanding endotypes and phenotypes

96% of respondents indicated the activity:

Met the learning objectives

76%

Executive Summary: Activity Details

The CME evening symposium consisted of six two-hour dinner meetings presented by one of two expert faculty from National Jewish Health and Mt. Sinai. They provided their perspective on current and emerging treatments for severe asthma. The experts lead discussion on diagnosis, current and emerging treatments, and the use biologic therapies and oral corticosteroid reduction.

- **Features included:**
- ✓ Whiteboard animation
- ✓ Challenging cases
- ✓ Infographic clinical aid
- ✓ Audience Response System

Patient Perspective Video

New York, NY (8/14/2019): 78 Learners Denver, CO (9/4/2019): 53 Learners Phoenix, AZ (9/5/2019): 47 Learners Dallas, TX (11/12/2019): 23 Learners Atlanta, GA (11/14/2019): 36 Learners Miami, FL (12/5/2019): 31 Learners **Total: 268 Learners**

Level 1 Outcomes: Participation

Participation by Specialty

Target Audience

Allergists, Pulmonologists, along with Primary Care Physicians, Pediatricians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants and Registered Nurses who treat patients with asthma.

Level 2 Outcomes: Satisfaction

Level 3&4 Outcomes: Overall Live Course

Avg Pre (N=156) Avg Post (N=133)

Level 3 and 4 outcomes were measured by comparing participants' pre- and post-test answers. The attendees' responses to these questions demonstrated that **participants gained knowledge as a result of the activity.** **36%** Overall relative knowledge gain from pre to postactivity.

Greater than 75% of the questions posed for this activity represented a medium to large effect size*

*Cohen (1988) .2=small, .5=medium, .8=large *Wolf (1966) 0.25=educationally significant

Learning Objective: Apply current management guidelines to diagnose asthma correctly, optimize inhaled therapy, address comorbidities, and recognize when asthma is not well controlled.

Q1: When a patient presents with uncontrolled asthma despite high intensity therapy, what is the most important initial assessment to consider?

P value < .0001 Cohens d = 0.72 Medium Effect Size

Learning Objective: Apply current management guidelines to diagnose asthma correctly, optimize inhaled therapy, address comorbidities, and recognize when asthma is not well controlled.

Q2: 40 y.o. man with life-long severe asthma has persistent symptoms and exacerbations every 3 months despite prescribed controller medicines include high dose ICS + LABA, LAMA and a LTM. What intervention should be considered next?

Question 2: Pre- and Post-test

P value < .0001 Cohens d = 0.98 Large Effect Size

Learning Objective: *Review evidence related to potential long-term effects of oral corticosteroids and assess their role in asthma management in the era of biologic therapies.*

Q3: Chronic oral corticosteroid use has been associated with which of the following adverse effects?

Question 3: Pre- and Post-test

P value <.0001 Cohens d = 0.90 Large Effect Size

Q4: A 52 year-old man has been dependent on oral steroids despite adherence to high dose ICS/LABA for his asthma for the last 3 years. He has had weight gain, cataracts, and low bone density. Which of the following have been demonstrated to facilitate oral steroid dose reduction while reducing asthma exacerbations:

Learning Objective: Identify key features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapies.

Q5: When a patient presents with uncontrolled asthma despite high intensity therapy, what is the most important initial assessment to consider?

Question 5: Pre- and Post-test

P value = 0.113 Cohens d = 0.20 Small Effect Size

Learning Objective: Identify key features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapies.

Q6: Type 2 inflammation is associated with all of the following except:

P value <.0001 Cohens d = 0.92 Large Effect Size

Learning Objective: Individualize biologic and non-biologic therapies for patients based on their inflammatory phenotype, coexisting conditions, and other individual factors.

Q7: Your patient is a 55-year-old obese woman (BMI 35 mg/kg2) with severe persistent asthma (onset at age 39) with uncontrolled symptoms despite intensive therapy. Comorbidities include GERD and sleep apnea controlled with PPI and CPAP. Skin prick testing negative for common aeroallergens. IgE = 100 IU/L but allergy testing is negative. Absolute eosinophil count is 100/uL. FeNO = 10 ppb. Induced sputum shows neutrophilic inflammation. What would you do next?

Question 7: Pre- and Post-test

P value <.0001 Cohens d = 0.60 Medium Effect Size

Learning Objective: Individualize biologic and non-biologic therapies for patients based on their inflammatory phenotype, coexisting conditions, and other individual factors.

Q8: When a patient presents with uncontrolled asthma despite high intensity therapy, what is the most important initial assessment to consider?

P value = 0.0002 Cohens d = 0.47 Medium Effect Size

Level 3&4 Outcomes: Learning by Objective

Learning Objective Knowledge Gain

Individualize biologic and non-biologic therapies for patients based on their inflammatory phenotype, coexisting conditions, and other individual factors.

Identify key features of moderate to severe asthma that are targets for biologic therapies.

Review evidence related to potential long-term effects of oral corticosteroids and assess their role in asthma management in the era of biologic therapies.

Apply current management guidelines to diagnose asthma correctly, optimize inhaled therapy, address comorbidities, and recognize when asthma is not well controlled.

Level 3&4 Outcomes Aggregate scores by city

Final Report

Level 4 Outcomes: Intent to Change

*multiple responses could be selected

Confidence

Attendees rated their level of confidence related to addressing comorbidities of severe asthma after attending the meeting:

Educational Impact – By the numbers

- ✓ 99% of attendees indicated that they planned to make changes to their practice
- ✓ 35% of attendees indicated that they had already made changes to practice at 6 weeks following the activity
- ✓ 50% of those who had not yet made changes 6 weeks following the activity, indicated that they still planned to make changes to practice
- ✓ 35% overall relative gain in knowledge for all questions combined
- ✓ 75% of questions represented a significant gain in knowledge as reflected by p values >0.05 thus the learning was not merely attributable to chance
- ✓ 75% of questions represented a medium to large effect size as reflected by Cohen's d statistic
- ✓ 12,684 patients are reportedly impacted by the education provided

Attendee Take Aways

- Biologic treatment for asthma management
- Benefits of additional asthma testing
- GINA guideline application to treatment
- Eosinophil categorization
- Identify phenotype and endotype and tailor therapy
- Criteria for biologics
- Different types of asthma
- Look out for comorbidities that may make asthma difficult to control
- Individualization of treatment and biologics
- Biomarkers for type 2 and non-type 2 [asthma]
- Taper steroids and use biologics
- New way to treat uncontrolled asthma
- Inhaler technique and adherence
- Understand heterogeneity of asthma
- Communication with patients
- Distinguishing from difficult to control asthma from true refractory asthma

Recommendations for Future Topics

Final Report

- ILD and COPD
- Future role of biologic therapy in COPD patients with asthma overlap
- Hypertension
- Rheumatoid Arthritis
- More about biologic data (side effects, practical aspect)
- Assessing phenotypes
- NTM
- Diabetes
- Atopic Dermatitis
- EoE
- CRSwNP
- Pediatric asthma

Infographic Clinical Reference Aid

- 98% of attendees (N=133) indicated that they were likely to use the infographic clinical reference aid in practice.
- **38%** of attendees (N=37) responding to the 6-week follow-up survey indicated that they had used or referred to the infographic in clinical practice.

Most helpful aspects of infographic:

- ✓ Clarify decision-making process
- ✓ Easy to follow
- ✓ Biomarkers
- ✓ Phenotypes
- ✓ Algorithm

Accreditation

NJH is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. The NJH Office of Professional Education produced and accredited this program and adhered to the updated ACCME guidelines.

NJH designated the live symposia for a maximum of 2.0 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits[™]; 2 ABIM MOC points, and 2.4 Nursing Contact Hours; and the online activity for a maximum of .5 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits[™] and .5 ABIM MOC points

Thank you for your support of this educational program!

